

s22(1)(a)(ii)

**From:** s22(1)(a)(ii)  
**Sent:** Monday, 3 April 2023 8:45 AM  
**To:** s22(1)(a)(ii)  
**Cc:** s22(1)(a)(ii)  
**Subject:** RE: Update on AAT case brought by Rex Patrick seeking release of sensitive Cabinet document from 2000 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Many thanks s22(1)(a)(ii)

For your awareness – Friday evening I got a few questions via WA from s47F(1) . I provided an explanation of the Archives Act and AAT process in line with the TPs, emphasizing that this was standard practice, but did not discuss the content of the decision. s33(b)

Kind regards

s22(1)(a)(ii)

s22(1)(a)(ii)

Assistant Director  
 Indonesia Branch | Southeast Asia Maritime Division  
 Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade  
 T s22(1)(a)(ii) | M s22(1)(a)(ii)

**From:** s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>  
**Sent:** Friday, 31 March 2023 18:01  
**To:** s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>  
**Cc:** s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>; Adrian Lochrin <Adrian.Lochrin@dfat.gov.au>; Clare Duffield <Clare.Duffield@dfat.gov.au>; Steve Scott <Steve.Scott@dfat.gov.au>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>  
**Subject:** RE: Update on AAT case brought by Rex Patrick seeking release of sensitive Cabinet document from 2000 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Thanks s22(1)(a)(ii) .

I have just called s47F(1) talking points provided.

to deliver this pre-briefing in line with

s33(b)

I have let s47F(1) know to contact us if s47F(1) has any further questions.

Cheers,  
s22(1)(a)(ii)

---

**From:** s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 28 March 2023 11:17 AM  
**To:** s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>  
**Cc:** s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>; Adrian Lochrin <Adrian.Lochrin@dfat.gov.au>; Clare Duffield <Clare.Duffield@dfat.gov.au>; Steve Scott <Steve.Scott@dfat.gov.au>  
**Subject:** RE: Update on AAT case brought by Rex Patrick seeking release of sensitive Cabinet document from 2000  
 [REDACTED]

Hi s22(1)(a)(ii)

As requested, please see below for additional TPs and more extensive background.

The Tribunal made the orders today, beginning the period of 7 days delaying publication and limiting disclosure of the unredacted reasons provided to Mr Patrick. The reasons will be published online following this period.

LGD has again recommended s42(1)

s47C(1)

Kind regards

s22(1)(a)(ii)

//

**If asked: about when the AAT will publish reasons for decision**

- The AAT will publish its reasons for decision in approximately 7 days.
- The reasons for decision will be publicly available online.

**If asked: National Archives/AAT process:**

- Under Australia's Archives Act 1983, any member of the public can request access to Government records that are 20 years or older.
- In 2021, Rex Patrick sought access to Cabinet records relating to Timor Sea negotiations between Timor-Leste and Australia
  - the National Archives, as the decision-maker under the Act, exempted parts of these records from release.
- Mr Patrick appealed to the Administrative Appeals Tribunal to reconsider the decision (a right afforded under the Act)
  - the AAT upheld the decision of the National Archives [on 31 January 2023].

**Background**

On 31 January 2023 the Administrative Appeals Tribunal (AAT) upheld the National Archives of Australia's (NAA) decision to exempt parts of a 2000 Cabinet Record on Timor Sea negotiations from release. The Commonwealth subsequently filed an application to prevent the disclosure of parts of the AAT's reasons for the decision on the basis the relevant extracts revealed or tended to reveal confidential affidavit evidence of former Deputy Secretary Hayhurst and Dr Greg French. The confidential affidavit

evidence is protected by an Attorney-General's certificate issued under the *Administrative Appeals Tribunal Act 1975*.

On 24 March 2023 the Commonwealth withdrew this application. The AAT's unredacted reasons for decision will be shared with Mr Patrick and subsequently published 7 days from 28 March 2023, the date of an order relating to publication made by the Tribunal. The AAT's written reasons for decision will be published online and will be available to members of the public.

Requests for access to Australian Government documents may be made under the *Archives Act 1983*. Members of the public can request access to Government records that fall within the open access period (20 years or older). Requests are made to the National Archives of Australia, which is the government body authorised to manage and make decisions on these records. In accordance with the Act, the National Archives can apply exemptions (redactions) over sensitive material that could reasonably be expected to cause damage to the security, defence or international relations of the Commonwealth. Should the applicant be dissatisfied with the decision to exempt (redact) information, they can appeal to the AAT to reconsider the decision. The AAT is an independent merits review body.

//

s22(1)(a)(ii)

---

Assistant Director  
Indonesia Branch | Southeast Asia Maritime Division  
Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade  
T s22(1)(a)(ii) | M s22(1)(a)(ii)

---

**From:** s22(1)(a)(ii)  
**Sent:** Friday, 24 March 2023 16:04  
**To:** s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>  
**Cc:** s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>; Adrian Lochrin <Adrian.Lochrin@dfat.gov.au>; Clare Duffield <Clare.Duffield@dfat.gov.au>; Steve Scott <Steve.Scott@dfat.gov.au>  
**Subject:** RE: Update on AAT case brought by Rex Patrick seeking release of sensitive Cabinet document from 2000  
[REDACTED]

Hi s22(1)(a)(ii)

No worries – we're following up with LGD to source these additional points for you.

Kind regards

s22(1)(a)(ii)

s22(1)(a)(ii)

---

Assistant Director  
Indonesia Branch | Southeast Asia Maritime Division  
Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade  
T s22(1)(a)(ii) | M s22(1)(a)(ii)

---

**From:** s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>  
**Sent:** Friday, 24 March 2023 13:54

To: s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii) @dfat.gov.au>  
 Cc: s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii) @dfat.gov.au>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii) @dfat.gov.au>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii) @dfat.gov.au>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii) @dfat.gov.au>; Adrian Lochrin <Adrian.Lochrin@dfat.gov.au>; Clare Duffield <Clare.Duffield@dfat.gov.au>; Steve Scott <Steve.Scott@dfat.gov.au>  
 Subject: RE: Update on AAT case brought by Rex Patrick seeking release of sensitive Cabinet document from 2000  
 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Hi s22(1)(a)(ii)

Thanks for the follow up. s47C(1) and s47E(d)

Is it possible to get from Legal a few simple dot points to explain the process and what will actually be released that concerns Indonesia? s47C(1) and s47E(d)

Cheers and thanks

s22(1)(a)(ii)

---

From: s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii) @dfat.gov.au>  
 Sent: Friday, 24 March 2023 8:49 AM  
 To: s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii) @dfat.gov.au>  
 Cc: s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii) @dfat.gov.au>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii) @dfat.gov.au>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii) @dfat.gov.au>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii) @dfat.gov.au>; Adrian Lochrin <Adrian.Lochrin@dfat.gov.au>; Clare Duffield <Clare.Duffield@dfat.gov.au>; Steve Scott <Steve.Scott@dfat.gov.au>  
 Subject: FW: Update on AAT case brought by Rex Patrick seeking release of sensitive Cabinet document from 2000  
 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Hi s22(1)(a)(ii)

s47C(1) and s47E(d) and talking points are attached. We will leave it to Post to adapt these messages for delivery to Indonesian counterparts.

As noted in LGD's update email, s42(1)

I'll pass on any updates.

Regards,

s22(1)(a)(ii)

---

Director | Indonesia Political Section  
 Indonesia Branch | Southeast Asia Maritime Division  
 Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade  
 T s22(1)(a)(ii) | M s22(1)(a)(ii)

---

From: s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii) @dfat.gov.au>  
 Sent: Friday, 24 March 2023 12:27 PM  
 To: s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii) @dfat.gov.au>; s47F(1) <s47F(1) @dfat.gov.au>

Cc: Craig Maclachlan <[Craig.Maclachlan@dfat.gov.au](mailto:Craig.Maclachlan@dfat.gov.au)>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>; Michelle Chan <[Michelle.Chan@dfat.gov.au](mailto:Michelle.Chan@dfat.gov.au)>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>; Adam Mccarthy <[Adam.McCarthy@dfat.gov.au](mailto:Adam.McCarthy@dfat.gov.au)>; Marie-Charlotte McKenna <[Marie-Charlotte.McKenna@dfat.gov.au](mailto:Marie-Charlotte.McKenna@dfat.gov.au)>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>; Clare Duffield <[Clare.Duffield@dfat.gov.au](mailto:Clare.Duffield@dfat.gov.au)>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>; Andrew Walter <[Andrew.Walter@dfat.gov.au](mailto:Andrew.Walter@dfat.gov.au)>; Lauren Henschke <[Lauren.Henschke@dfat.gov.au](mailto:Lauren.Henschke@dfat.gov.au)>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>; s47F(1) <s47F(1)@dfat.gov.au>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>

Subject: RE: Update on AAT case brought by Rex Patrick seeking release of sensitive Cabinet document from 2000

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Dear s47F(1)

s47C(1) and s47E(d) please find attached talking points on this development. We will keep you updated on the timing of publication – to be confirmed once we have agreement (or not) from Mr Patrick for the 7 day delay to release of the unredacted reasons for the AAT's decision.

Best  
s22(1)(a)(ii)

s22(1)(a)(ii)

---

A/g Director | Sea Law Section  
International Law Branch | Legal Division

T s22(1)(a)(ii) | M s22(1)(a)(ii)

s42(1) and s47E(d)



Media talking points – Release of reasons for AAT decision – Patrick v NAA matter

**TALKING POINTS**

- We welcome the decision of the Administrative Appeals Tribunal (AAT) affirming the decision of the National Archives of Australia not to release parts of a 2000 Cabinet record relating to Timor Sea negotiations.

**If asked: about Australia’s decision to withdraw its application to prevent disclosure of the AAT’s reasons**

- The Commonwealth has withdrawn its application to prevent disclosure of the AAT’s reasons
  - the AAT’s reasons will be published in due course.
  - it is not appropriate for me to comment further on this matter.

**If raised: did Australia adopt a position in negotiations with Indonesia [in the 1997 Perth Treaty negotiations] that was less favourable to Indonesia than Australia’s position in negotiations with Timor-Leste [in the 2018 Australia-Timor-Leste Maritime Boundary Treaty negotiations]?**

- Maritime boundary negotiations between one State and another are not the same
  - each negotiation presents different circumstances
  - and negotiations are confidential.
- The 2018 Maritime Boundary Treaty negotiations with Timor-Leste presented a unique set of circumstances
  - the treaty was the outcome of the first ever conciliation under the *United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea* and was welcomed by both States and the United Nations.
- Australia is committed to our maritime boundary treaties with both Timor-Leste and Indonesia.

**If raised: does the 2000 Cabinet record confirm that Australia adopted a less favourable position in negotiations with Indonesia?**

- The AAT affirmed the National Archive’s decision not to release parts of the 2000 Cabinet record
  - it is not appropriate for me to comment on the content of this record.

**If raised: is the 1997 Perth Treaty unfair to Indonesia?**

- No. The maritime boundaries delimited by the Perth Treaty were agreed by Australia and Indonesia
  - compromises were made by both parties.

- Treaty negotiations between States are confidential.

**If raised: are the maritime boundaries between Australia and Indonesia settled?**

- While the Perth Treaty is not yet in force, both Australia and Indonesia have acted in accordance with the Perth Treaty since it was signed in 1997
  - this reflects over 20 years of settled practice by both parties.
- **If pressed:** There is no territorial or maritime boundary dispute between Australia and Indonesia.

**If raised: has Indonesia requested that Australia re-negotiate the 1997 Perth Treaty or the 1972 Seabed Treaty?**

- Australia and Indonesia work closely and collaboratively on a range of maritime and international law issues
  - no such request has been made.
- Australia places significant store in our maritime boundary treaties with Indonesia
  - we are committed to these treaties and do not intend to re-open them.

**If raised: why did the Commonwealth argue that releasing the 2000 Cabinet record would damage Australia's international relations when José Ramos-Horta and Xanana Gusmão provided evidence that releasing it to the public would improve Australia's relationship with Timor-Leste?**

- I have the greatest respect for the views of President Ramos-Horta and former President Xanana Gusmão
  - and have enjoyed very much the discussions I have had with them since becoming Foreign Minister.
- However, the AAT considered the evidence they provided and found that releasing the Cabinet record would in fact damage Australia's international relations
  - I will not debate the merits of its decision.

## Background

On 31 January 2023 the Administrative Appeals Tribunal (AAT) upheld the National Archives of Australia's (NAA) decision to exempt parts of a 2000 Cabinet Record on Timor Sea negotiations from release.

The Commonwealth subsequently filed an application to prevent the disclosure of parts of the AAT's reasons for the decision on the basis the relevant extracts revealed or tended to reveal confidential affidavit evidence of former Deputy Secretary Hayhurst and Dr Greg French. The confidential affidavit evidence is protected by an Attorney-General's certificate issued under the *Administrative Appeals Tribunal Act 1975*.

On [TBC] the Commonwealth withdrew this application. The AAT's unredacted reasons for decision will be shared with Mr Patrick.



## Handling Notes

### Media Interest

This matter has received some media attention. However, attention has tended to focus on other related matters, particularly in relation to the prosecution of Bernard Collaery and Australia's maritime boundary negotiations with Timor-Leste.

### Consultation

ILB, TIS, INA, DLB

**Cleared by:** Marie-Charlotte McKenna, AS ILB

**From:** s22(1)(a)(ii)  
**Sent:** Thursday, 23 March 2023 8:28 AM  
**To:** s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii) @dfat.gov.au>  
**Cc:** s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii) @dfat.gov.au>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii) @dfat.gov.au>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii) @dfat.gov.au>; Adrian Lochrin <Adrian.Lochrin@dfat.gov.au>; Clare Duffield <Clare.Duffield@dfat.gov.au>; Steve Scott <Steve.Scott@dfat.gov.au>  
**Subject:** RE: s42(1) [REDACTED]

Hi s22(1)(a)(ii)

Thanks for the update. s47C(1) and s47E(d)

We'll await the TPs before discussing with KEMLU s47C(1) and s47E(d)

Cheers

s22(1)(a)(ii)

**From:** s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii) @dfat.gov.au>  
**Sent:** Thursday, 23 March 2023 7:45 AM  
**To:** s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii) @dfat.gov.au>  
**Cc:** s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii) @dfat.gov.au>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii) @dfat.gov.au>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii) @dfat.gov.au>; Adrian Lochrin <Adrian.Lochrin@dfat.gov.au>; Clare Duffield <Clare.Duffield@dfat.gov.au>  
**Subject:** s42(1) [REDACTED]

Hi s22(1)(a)(ii)

Great, thanks for confirming. By way of update, please note that s47C(1) and s47E(d)

s47C(1) and s47E(d)

DFAT is seeking a seven day delay in publication to allow pre-briefing of counterparts in Indonesia.

s47C(1) and s47E(d) share both when available.

we are preparing talking points. I will

Regards,

s22(1)(a)(ii)

Director | Indonesia Political Section  
 Indonesia Branch | Southeast Asia Maritime Division  
 Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade  
 T s22(1)(a)(ii) | M s22(1)(a)(ii)

**From:** s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 22 March 2023 1:27 PM  
**To:** s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>  
**Cc:** s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>  
**Subject:** RE: [FOR ACTION BY COB TODAY] s42(1)

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
 [REDACTED]

Thanks s22 – s47C(1) and s47E(d)  
 would be good s47C(1) and s47E(d)

7-14 days

**From:** s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 22 March 2023 5:45 AM  
**To:** s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>  
**Cc:** s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>  
**Subject:** RE: [FOR ACTION BY COB TODAY] s42(1)

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
 [REDACTED]

Hi s22(1)

On pre-briefing, colleagues in LGD/DLB have asked for advice on how much time Post would need.

Once DFAT withdraws its application, the unredacted AAT decision will be provided to Rex Patrick immediately and published within a day or two. To give us more time, LGD has said s42(1)

Regards,  
 s22(1)(a)(ii)

**From:** s22(1)(a)(ii)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 21 March 2023 4:40 PM  
**To:** s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>  
**Cc:** s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>; Steve Scott <Steve.Scott@dfat.gov.au>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>; Adrian Lochrin <Adrian.Lochrin@dfat.gov.au>; Penny Williams <Penny.Williams@dfat.gov.au>; Clare Duffield <Clare.Duffield@dfat.gov.au>  
**Subject:** RE: [FOR ACTION BY COB TODAY] s42(1)

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Hi <sup>s22(1)(a)(ii)</sup>

Thanks for confirming the assessment and providing this additional info – much appreciated. I've also noted your point on pre-briefing. We will pass this back to LGD/DLB and seek clarity on likely timing of public release of the AAT's decision.

Regards,

<sup>s22(1)(a)(ii)</sup>

---

Director | Indonesia Political Section  
Indonesia Branch | Southeast Asia Maritime Division  
Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade  
T <sup>s22(1)(a)(ii)</sup> | M <sup>s22(1)(a)(ii)</sup>

**From:** <sup>s22(1)(a)(ii)</sup> <<sup>s22(1)(a)(ii)</sup> @dfat.gov.au>

**Sent:** Tuesday, 21 March 2023 3:27 PM

**To:** Clare Duffield <[Clare.Duffield@dfat.gov.au](mailto:Clare.Duffield@dfat.gov.au)>; <sup>s22(1)(a)(ii)</sup> <<sup>s22(1)(a)(ii)</sup> @dfat.gov.au>

**Cc:** <sup>s22(1)(a)(ii)</sup> <<sup>s22(1)(a)(ii)</sup> @dfat.gov.au>; <sup>s22(1)(a)(ii)</sup> <<sup>s22(1)(a)(ii)</sup> @dfat.gov.au>; Steve Scott <[Steve.Scott@dfat.gov.au](mailto:Steve.Scott@dfat.gov.au)>; <sup>s22(1)(a)(ii)</sup> <<sup>s22(1)(a)(ii)</sup> @dfat.gov.au>; Adrian Lochrin <[Adrian.Lochrin@dfat.gov.au](mailto:Adrian.Lochrin@dfat.gov.au)>; Penny Williams <[Penny.Williams@dfat.gov.au](mailto:Penny.Williams@dfat.gov.au)>

**Subject:** RE: [FOR ACTION BY COB TODAY] <sup>s42(1)</sup>

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

<sup>s22(1)</sup>  
(a)(ii) Clare

<sup>s22(1)</sup> and I have talked through further with Steve. <sup>s47C(1)</sup> and <sup>s47E(d)</sup>  
( ) (ii)

<sup>s33(a)(iii)</sup>, <sup>s47C(1)</sup> and <sup>s47E(d)</sup>

<sup>s47C(1)</sup> and <sup>s47E(d)</sup>

As per our usual practice, if this is going to be released, it would be worth us pre-briefing KEMLU ahead of time. s47C(1) and s47E(d)

Happy to discuss further

Cheers

s22(1)(a)(ii)

**From:** Clare Duffield <[Clare.Duffield@dfat.gov.au](mailto:Clare.Duffield@dfat.gov.au)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 21 March 2023 9:41 AM  
**To:** s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>  
**Cc:** s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>  
**Subject:** RE: [FOR ACTION BY COB TODAY] s42(1)

Thanks s22(1)(a)(ii) and s22(1)(a)(ii)

s47C(1)  
 go with Steve's/your views, s22(1)(a)(ii)

happy to

Cheers  
 Clare

**From:** s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 21 March 2023 1:26 PM  
**To:** s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>; Clare Duffield <[Clare.Duffield@dfat.gov.au](mailto:Clare.Duffield@dfat.gov.au)>  
**Cc:** s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>  
**Subject:** RE: [FOR ACTION BY COB TODAY] s42(1)

Thanks s22(1)(a)(ii) – I'll pass the below by Steve also. I'll let you know when I have/ any comments

**From:** s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 21 March 2023 9:07 AM  
**To:** Clare Duffield <[Clare.Duffield@dfat.gov.au](mailto:Clare.Duffield@dfat.gov.au)>  
**Cc:** s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>; s22(1)(a)(ii) <s22(1)(a)(ii)@dfat.gov.au>  
**Subject:** FW: [FOR ACTION BY COB TODAY] s42(1)



Hi Clare

s47C(1) and s47E(d)

s42(1), s47E(d) and s47C(1)  
s47C(1) and s47E(d)

Regards,

s22(1)(a)

<sup>(ii)</sup>  
s42(1), s47E(d) and s47C(1)



