

s 22(1)(a)(ii)

s 47E(d)

Title: Canada-China: s 22(1)(a)(ii)
MRN: s 47E(d) 08/12/2020 03:18:41 PM EST
To: Canberra
Cc: s 47E(d)
From: Ottawa
From File:
EDRMS
Files:
References: s 47E(d)
Response: Routine, Information Only

s 22(1)(a)(ii)

s 22(1)(a)(ii)

s 47E(d)

6. s 22(1)(a)(ii)

7. Many commentators have a pessimistic view of the state of Canada-China relations fifty years on and have called for a new approach to replace the Trudeau Government's earlier policy of economic opportunity and enhanced engagement s 47E(d). Conservative thinktank the MacDonal Laurier Institute (MLI) has called for a 'course-correction' to a foreign policy approach that was adrift and directionless. Speaking at a separate seminar October, author and commentator Jonathan Manthorpe argued that successive Canadian governments had been 'grossly negligent' on China. He claimed that the policy elite had clung for too long to a misguided belief that Canada was unique, that the CCP had a soft spot for Canada and that Ottawa was therefore uniquely placed to influence reform in China.

8. s 33(a)(iii), s 47C, s 47E(d)

. Manthorpe, who is expert on CCP influence in Canada, has highlighted Australian policies on countering foreign interference as a model. In recent weeks, former Prime Ministers Turnbull and Rudd have been (separately) interviewed by Canadian media. Both encouraged Canada to robustly defend national interests and to speak out against coercion.