## Independent Evaluation of Kiribati Technical and Vocational Education and Training Sector Strengthening

## Program Phase I and II

### MANAGEMENT RESPONSE

# Program Summary

Program Name	Kiribati Technical and Vocational E and II	Kiribati Technical and Vocational Education and Training Sector Strengthening Program Phase I and II	
Commencement date	Phase I (Jan 2011) Phase II (July 2012)	Completion date	Phase I (June 2012) Phase II (July 2016)
Total Australian \$	Estimated total initiative value: AUD2	3.6 million (total contract v	alue from 2011-2016)
Total other \$	-		
Country/Region	Kiribati		
Primary sector	Technical and Vocational Education a	nd Training (TVET)	

Objectives	<b>Goal</b> : To support the vision of the Government of Kiribati for an internationally respected TVET system which plays a valued role in improving national economic growth and increasing the employability of the people of Kiribati at home and abroad, especially its young women and men.
	<b>Medium-Term Objective</b> : To support mutually agreed improvements in the quality, quantity, scope and equity of training provided by the Kiribati TVET sector and to do so in ways which are financially sustainable and integrated with regional TVET and labour market developments as they evolve.
	<b>Short-Term Objective</b> : To support the development of an integrated and accountable TVET sector which responds to demand from employers and individual women and men for quality-assured training that increases workplace productivity; broadens work opportunities for i-Kiribati at home and abroad; and contributes to economic growth and social equity.

#### **Evaluation Summary**

**Evaluation Objective:** The evaluation was commissioned to provide advice to the Government of Kiribati and the Government of Australia on the performance of the program since inception and to guide the design of the subsequent phase known as the Skills for Employment Program (SfEP, commencing July 2016).

Specifically, the evaluation was commissioned to:

- Review the situation analysis that guided the design of TVETSSP Phases I and II and identify subsequent changes to the development context;
- Identify Phase I and II progress and further outcomes that need to be maintained;
- Assess the quality of Phases I and II against the DFAT Aid Quality Criteria;
- Suggest options that would increase value for money.

Evaluation Completion Date: 28 October 2015 (submission of final report)

#### Summary of evaluation findings

The Kiribati TVETSSP was designed with two components:

- Component 1: strengthening the organisational capacity of MLHRD to provide policy, planning and oversight services for the TVET sector;
- Component 2: increasing the quality, quantity, scope and equity of training delivered by KIT.

The evaluation found the program met most of the output targets against the two components.

**Component 1** was discontinued in June 2014. As a result, the component partially achieved its outcome to increase MLHRD's organisational capacity in the TVET sector. The evaluation found the main area of deficiency related to the establishment of data systems at MLHRD to support strategic and management decision making.

**Component 2** has progressed in achieving its outcome of increasing the quality, quantity, scope and equity of training delivered by KIT. The evaluation found significant capacity increases have been noted for KIT training staff and their ability to deliver Australian standard qualifications. Selected achievements include:

- Construction of improved KIT facilities to help increase the number of places;
- Standard of teaching quality has risen to recognised international levels;
- TVET advisory mechanisms are working well and have transitioned TVET delivery from a supply to a demand led training model with industry support;
- Transition to work activities have some success in achieving employment outcomes;
- KIT- Australia Pacific Technical College (APTC) pathway has provided access to Australian certificate III level qualifications;
- Employers have found that KIT and APTC graduates have good soft skills, making them worthwhile employees (whilst recognising that further skills development is essential).

The evaluation also found the program's component outcomes have been mostly achieved. However, there was not much data or evidence to suggest that there had been significant contribution to the three sector result areas—further study or local employment; productivity improvement in Kiribati; and international employment. While the evaluation acknowledged that the achievement of these outcomes was not the sole responsibility of TVETSSP, the program appeared to have underestimated the impact of other factors outside the scope of the program to the achievement of these outcomes.

#### DFAT's response to the evaluation report

DFAT is of the view that the evaluation provides a balanced assessment of TVETSSP's strengths and weaknesses. We agree with most of its findings, conclusions and recommendations and see it as a useful basis for reflection and further discussion. The evaluation identifies a number of opportunities to recalibrate the program through the design of the subsequent phase (SfEP).

#### DFAT's response to the recommendations made in the evaluation report

The evaluation made sixteen recommendations clustered under five headings. DFAT's response to each recommendation is listed below.

## **Quality Training Delivery**

Recommendation 1	Increase the number of graduates from KIT.	
	irect the managing contractor for SfEP to look at ways of better utilising the new facilities as part of 2016 per of qualified trainers still remains a limitation). The design for SfEP will set targets for increasing the a facilities utilisation study.	
Recommendation 2	Investigate ways of reducing unit costs, whilst increasing the levels of quality output.	
'market model' that moves away from course profile that is driven by the der recognised qualifications where requir quality and regulatory system, allowin effectiveness in the long run, and thus	n to reduce unit costs by increasing the levels of quality outputs. The design for SfEP will include a new the delivery of all Australian qualifications, to achieving a more relevant, responsive and cost-effective mands of domestic and international labour markets. KIT will continue to offer some internationally- red for international employment. However, KIT will also be supported to seek registration under a regional g it to deliver regionally-accredited qualifications. It is intended that this new approach will increase cost- s support an increase in the number of places KIT is able to offer. This will be supported by the new Other recommended measures to improve value for money will also be considered in the design of SfEP.	
Recommendation 3	Support KIT to retain and use the revenue it generates towards further investment and contribution to its operating costs.	
DFAT response: Agree. DFAT Taraw	va post has commenced dialogue on this issue with senior Government of Kiribati officials.	
Recommendation 4	Consider alternatives to the current auspicing arrangement.	
to annually plan to 'purchase' courses	KIT will move on from the current auspicing model. Instead, a Skills Development Fund will support KIT (and engage institutional strengthening services) in response to current labour market demand. This new flexible, responsive and cost-effective than the current auspicing model.	
Recommendation 5	Continue demand led TVET training model for Kiribati, with an additional focus on international demand.	

**DFAT response**: Agree. SfEP will continue to support existing TVET advisory mechanisms, and will introduce an annual labour market demand study to inform future course development and course offerings. This study will identify demand driven skill development priorities for inclusion in the KIT annual course profile.

#### **Employment Focus**

Recommendation 6	Transition to work activities should be refocused toward international employment opportunities and graduates' skills promoted to international markets.	
work activities, with a particular focus	ve a much stronger focus on developing graduates' technical and soft skills through targeted transition to on those seeking off-shore employment. This will include initiatives to provide workplace training targeted to emand, and will be complemented by marketing activities to promote the quality of KIT graduates to an indation 8).	
Recommendation 7	Pathways that build on the KIT qualification should be investigated.	
<b>DFAT response</b> : Agree. SfEP will support KIT to develop partnerships with Australian and/or regional registered training organisations (RTOs) to deliver internationally-recognised Certificate III qualifications that build on the Certificate II qualifications obtained at KIT, targeted at graduates seeking offshore employment. APTC will continue to provide KIT Certificate II to APTC Certificate III pathways where appropriate.		
Recommendation 8	KIT marketing and communication functions need to promote the quality of KIT graduates to an international audience.	
	oport KIT to develop and implement strategies to market KIT graduates internationally, as well as rnational labour market demand for KIT graduates.	
Recommendation 9	Coordination within MLHRD, in so far as supporting access to international employment opportunities needs to be strengthened.	
DFAT response: Agree. SfEP will sup	pport MLHRD to improve the management and coordination of the skills sector. This will include:	
<ul> <li>DFAT and the Ministry workin</li> </ul>	prove worker mobilisation systems and procedures and liaison with employers; g together to support the implementation of the Pacific Microstates - Northern Australian Worker Pilot cific microstates and the expanded Seasonal Worker Program.	

## Capacity Strengthening

Recommendation 10	The delivery of capacity strengthening support needs to be flexible. Targets set against this need to focus on capacity building outcomes rather than the delivery of outputs.	
<b>DFAT response</b> : Agree. SfEP will deliver flexible capacity strengthening support to MLHRD through a range of activities. The targets set by these activities will focus on building outcomes. This includes supporting MLHRD to realise regional and international labour mobility opportunities and assist in the development of policy, systems and processes that will help develop the national TVET system.		
Recommendation 11	The mechanism of short term assistance (STA) needs to be carefully planned and described in the terms of reference, so there is a sustainable capacity building process supported by KIT beyond the STA input.	
<b>DFAT response</b> : Agree. SfEP will engage short term advisors (as appropriate) to work collaboratively with the MLHRD to strengthen their capacity to deliver certain program activities. Their engagement will be carefully planned and described so there is a sustainable capacity building process supported by KIT beyond the STA input.		
Recommendation 12	Options to support the creation of two MLHRD data systems (one to track labour supply and another to track employment data) should be investigated.	
<b>DFAT response</b> : Agree. SfEP will scope the functionality for the two new systems for data collection and analysis. They will be implemented through MLHRD's National Career Counselling and Employment Centre and KIT.		

# Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E)

Recommendation 13	The M&E system for Phase III should be simple and fit for purpose. This means it should focus on core data required to monitor performance.
and analysing the core data required t	ata has limited the scope for evidence-based decision making. M&E during SfEP will focus on gathering o monitor program performance. The design for SfEP recommends this approach to M&E and sets out ements for the M&E system. These will be further reviewed and—where necessary—adjusted on out its implementation.

Recommendation 14	Data demand and use in M&E will need to take cognizance of the difficulty in maintaining quality and useful databases.
DFAT response Agree. SfEP will take	e cognizance of the difficulty in maintaining quality and useful databases in Kiribati – not just in terms of

**DFAT response** Agree. SfEP will take cognizance of the difficulty in maintaining quality and useful databases in Kiribati – not just in terms of administrative capacity, but also in terms of enabling data systems and IT. The managing contractor for SfEP will need to establish, as part of the M&E plan, a quality assurance process for data collection and storage. This should provide consistent and reliable data to inform management decision making.

#### Gender and Social Inclusion

Recommendation 15	Gender inclusion needs to be more fully addressed through Phase III. This will require an evolution in approach from one that meets targets to an approach that emphasises gender and social inclusion as a core strategic development issue.
<b>DFAT response</b> : Agree. SfEP will ad principles to planning and implementa	vance gender equality and promote the empowerment of women and girls, through applying the following tion:
<ul> <li>Personnel and consultants un</li> <li>Promoting gender equality, an</li> <li>Needs and priorities of womer activities;</li> <li>Information and communication</li> <li>Commitment to building the kr roles by giving equal opportur</li> </ul>	In can be readily analysed to inform planning, analysis and reporting of gender impacts; derstanding the importance of, and having the capacity to address, gender equality; and the importance of gender equality for program activities, through communication to a wider audience; in as well as those of men, identified and addressed in the program's training and employment support on undertaken as part of program activities indicate that opportunities are open to both women and men; nowledge, understanding and practical capacity of both women and men, in traditional and non-traditiona ity for their participation, including in leadership roles; al opportunities for women to participate in SfEP program committees, planning groups and course review
Recommendation 16	Phase III should consider lessons learned from the existing focus on supporting people with disability during Phase II, so that inclusion targets and targeting strategies can be set for inclusion at KIT.

**DFAT response** Agree. SfEP will continue to maintain the commitment of both the Governments of Australia and Kiribati to supporting people with disabilities access opportunities for training at KIT and subsequent employment through the Job Search Centre. DFAT will work with the SfEP managing contractor to develop appropriate targets and targeting strategies to promote the inclusion of people with disabilities from enrolment through to graduation and beyond.