

Australia Awards Global Tracer Facility

Global Tracer Survey 2022 Laos Country Report



Outcomes Summary

This country report details the responses of alumni from **Laos** to the Australia Awards Global Tracer Facility's 2022 **Global Tracer Survey**. The Global Tracer Survey was conducted in November and December 2022.

Alumni were asked a range of questions via an online survey, focussed on exploring the long-term outcomes of the Australia Awards. In total 5,116 alumni from more than 108 different countries participated in the survey. A 24% response rate was achieved globally. **This report focuses on the response data of alumni from Laos**.

The summary text below provides insight into alumni responses in relation to the Australia Awards <u>Program Outcomes</u>. In addition to the summary below, the report contains detailed tables with data aligned to the <u>Global Performance Targets</u>. These data tables are intended for country programs to be able to use as a resource for reporting of their **Core Country Program Indicators**.

For **Laos**, there were 679 alumni included in the target population. Responses were received from 143, a **response rate of 21.1%**. Of those who responded, 49% were women and 1% identify as having a disability. A total of 15% of these alumni hold a leadership role in their community and of those alumni employed, 63% indicated that they hold a formal leadership role in their work.

The survey data provide a valuable quantitative insight into alumni use of knowledge and skills, development of networks and contribution to cooperation with Australia. The responses also offer rich examples from alumni of the types of contributions they are making, the way in which they are using their award, and the things that help and hinder them in reaching their potential.

The goal of the Australia Awards is 'to support partner countries to achieve their development goals through education and knowledge transfer and to build enduring relationships with Australia that advance mutual interests'.¹ The results of this survey are shown in this report with reference to the long-term program outcomes of the Australia Awards. the following summary offers findings based on the responses of alumni from Laos. Further findings are included in the infographic following this summary.

Program Outcome 1: Alumni use their skills, knowledge and networks to contribute to sustainable development.

Most alumni from Laos (94%) indicated they have **passed on their skills and knowledge** to their colleagues and 99% indicate that they have improved the organisations they work on since return from their award.

¹ See <u>https://www.dfat.gov.au/sites/default/files/australia-awards-global-strategy.pdf</u> and

https://www.dfat.gov.au/sites/default/files/australia-awards-global-monitoring-evaluation-framework.pdf for detailed information about the long-term outcomes.

Furthermore, alumni are using their new knowledge and skills to **improve their communities** (90%), contribute at a **national level** (90%) and make contributions at a regional or **global level** (71%).

Program Outcome 2: Alumni contribute to cooperation between Australia and partner countries.

37% of alumni from **Laos** have maintained frequent contact with other scholarship/Australia Awards alumni, while 7% are in frequent contact with Australian students/alumni.

Of those responding to the Global Survey, 7% have frequent contact with the lecturers/academics from their host institution, and 10% with Australian Embassies, High Commissions or Consulates, or with their local Australia Awards office.

50% of alumni from **Laos** indicated they have developed a professional partnership with Australians or Australian organisations since returning from award.

Support for returning home

This Global Tracer Survey included a new focus on alumni reintegration and support on returning home. For alumni from **Laos**, 21% indicated that they had participated in a reintegration-type program as part of their return from award. Of those who participated in a program, 35% said this program was provided by the local Australia Awards Program, and 31% indicated it was provided by the Australian High Commission or Embassy.


In addition to this Outcomes Summary, this report includes an infographic as well as data tables including the detail of respondents from **Laos** to the Global Tracer Survey. As noted earlier, the data tables correspond to the Australia Awards Global Performance Targets, they include benchmark data from the entire survey response population.

For further information about the Australia Awards Global Tracer Facility and the full Global Tracer Survey 2022 Report, please visit the website: https://www.dfat.gov.au/publications/people-people/australia-awards-global-tracer-

facility-year-7-results



Global Tracer Survey 2022 Laos Overview



🙂 🕗 alumni voices

I have used my knowledge and skills to develop and implement projects in education, and social protection. I also use my knowledge and skills to conduct rapid assessment and survey in education, community development and employability. I have passed on my knowledge to other HR [Human Resource] practitioners in my organisation and in HR community in Laos. I have coached many students and colleagues, as well as friends in terms of career development, leadership skills, and work ethics and specialized principles.

This scholarship had changed my life completely. By receiving this award, it opens many new opportunities for me and I believe all of awardees feel same way. This is a life changing opportunity. Australia Awards is one of the crucial awards for human development within my country, it allows students to explore not only the higher education but also the culture and experience the new environmental place abroad.

Table 1: Australia Awards Key Performance Target 3 Influencing Development, alumni from Laos and All alumni

		LAO Female Count	LAO Female Column N %	LAO Male Count	LAO Male Column N %	LAO Total Count	LAO Total Column N %	Global All Alumni Count	Global All Alumni Column N %
	Strongly agree	44	63.8%	40	55.6%	84	59.6%	3134	63.2%
Target 3.2	Agree	25	36.2%	30	41.7%	55	39.0%	1681	33.9%
As a result of my award I have used my new knowledge and skills to improve the organisation	Neither agree/Disagree	0	0.0%	2	2.8%	2	1.4%	125	2.5%
I work in	Disagree	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12	0.2%
	Strongly disagree	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	0.2%
	Strongly agree	31	45.6%	30	41.7%	61	43.6%	2251	46.4%
Target 3.2	Agree	29	42.6%	36	50.0%	65	46.4%	2045	42.2%
As a result of my award I have used my new knowledge and skills to contribute to improving	Neither agree/Disagree	8	11.8%	5	6.9%	13	9.3%	485	10.0%
local communities.	Disagree	0	0.0%	1	1.4%	1	0.7%	56	1.2%
	Strongly disagree	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12	0.2%
	Strongly agree	35	51.5%	34	47.2%	69	49.3%	2323	48.1%
Target 3.2	Agree	25	36.8%	32	44.4%	57	40.7%	1905	39.4%
As a result of my award I have used my new knowledge and skills to make contributions to	Neither agree/Disagree	8	11.8%	5	6.9%	13	9.3%	505	10.5%
development at a national level in my country	Disagree	0	0.0%	1	1.4%	1	0.7%	83	1.7%
	Strongly disagree	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15	0.3%
	Strongly agree	16	24.6%	18	25.4%	34	25.0%	1585	33.7%
Target 3.2	Agree	33	50.8%	30	42.3%	63	46.3%	1983	42.2%
As a result of my award I have used my new knowledge and skills to make contributions to	Neither agree/Disagree	14	21.5%	19	26.8%	33	24.3%	925	19.7%
development at a regional and/or global level	Disagree	2	3.1%	3	4.2%	5	3.7%	193	4.1%
	Strongly disagree	0	0.0%	1	1.4%	1	0.7%	17	0.4%
Target 3.3	Gave a response	47	74.6%	49	68.1%	96	71.1%	3771	79.8%
Describe an example of a contribution you have made through your current employment using	I have not yet done this	0	0.0%	1	1.4%	1	0.7%	164	3.5%
the knowledge, skills and/or networks developed while on Award	Prefer not to say	16	25.4%	22	30.6%	38	28.1%	791	16.7%
Target 3.3	Gave a response	40	63.5%	27	37.5%	67	49.6%	3009	63.7%
Describe an example of a contribution you have made through volunteering in your community using the knowledge, skills and/or networks	I have not yet done this	9	14.3% 22.2%	11 34	15.3% 47.2%	20 48	14.8% 35.6%	683	14.5% 21.8%
developed while on Award	Prefer not to say	14	22.270	34	41.2%	40	33.0%	1030	21.0%

Table 2: Australia Awards Key Performance Targets 4 and 5 Alumni networks and bilateral links, alumni from Laos and All alumni

		LAO Female Count	LAO Female Column N %	LAO Male Count	LAO Male Column N %	LAO Total Count	LAO Total Column N %	Global All Alumni Count	Global All Alumni Column N %
	Never	2	3.3%	7	10.1%	9	7.0%	275	6.4%
Target 4.1 Since returning from award how	Sometimes	33	55.0%	39	56.5%	72	55.8%	2338	54.3%
often are you in contact with other Australia Awards students	Often	21	35.0%	18	26.1%	39	30.2%	1255	29.2%
	Always	4	6.7%	5	7.2%	9	7.0%	435	10.1%
Target 4.1	Never	3	5.2%	6	9.1%	9	7.3%	711	16.8%
Since returning from award how	Sometimes	42	72.4%	49	74.2%	91	73.4%	2312	54.7%
often are you in contact with an Australian / Australian Awards	Often	13	22.4%	10	15.2%	23	18.5%	805	19.0%
alumni association in your country	Always	0	0.0%	1	1.5%	1	0.8%	401	9.5%
Target 5.1	Never	25	47.2%	30	47.6%	55	47.4%	2051	50.9%
Since returning from award how often are you in contact with	Sometimes	22	41.5%	23	36.5%	45	38.8%	1530	38.0%
Individuals in Australian businesses, professional	Often	6	11.3%	8	12.7%	14	12.1%	358	8.9%
associations and/or organisations working in your field	Always	0	0.0%	2	3.2%	2	1.7%	90	2.2%
Target 5.1	Never	17	30.9%	19	29.7%	36	30.3%	1453	34.6%
Since returning from award how often are you in contact with The	Sometimes	32	58.2%	39	60.9%	71	59.7%	2196	52.3%
Australian High Commission/Embassy/Consulate	Often	5	9.1%	5	7.8%	10	8.4%	437	10.4%
OR Australia Awards team in your country	Always	1	1.8%	1	1.6%	2	1.7%	109	2.6%
Target 5.1	Never	9	18.0%	13	21.0%	22	19.6%	1558	39.8%
Since returning from award how	Sometimes	25	50.0%	35	56.5%	60	53.6%	1567	40.1%
often are you in contact with Australians that you work with /alongside as part of your job	Often	11	22.0%	11	17.7%	22	19.6%	548	14.0%
	Always	5	10.0%	3	4.8%	8	7.1%	238	6.1%
Target 5.2 What is the important relationship/connection you developed as a result of your award?	Gave a response	32	58.2%	27	43.5%	59	50.4%	2691	69.9%
	I have no relationship or connection	2	3.6%	6	9.7%	8	6.8%	365	9.5%
	Prefer not to say	21	38.2%	29	46.8%	50	42.7%	794	20.6%

Table 3: Australia Awards Key Performance Target 6 Women's Leadership, alumni from Laos and All alumni

		LAO Female Count	LAO Female Column N %	LAO Male Count	LAO Male Column N %	LAO Total Count	LAO Total Column N %	Global All Alumni Count	Global All Alumni Column N %
	I have a formal leadership role (e.g. manager, supervisor, executive)	32	62.7%	37	62.7%	69	62.7%	2635	68.7%
Target 6.4	l have an informal leadership role (e.g. mentor)	12	23.5%	15	25.4%	27	24.5%	661	17.2%
Do you hold a Leadership role within your current employment	I do NOT have a leadership role	4	7.8%	4	6.8%	8	7.3%	426	11.1%
	Prefer not to say	3	5.9%	3	5.1%	6	5.5%	113	2.9%
Target 6.4	Yes	8	15.4%	9	14.3%	17	14.8%	1077	26.0%
Do you hold a Leadership role within your community	No	39	75.0%	45	71.4%	84	73.0%	2800	67.5%
	Prefer not to say	5	9.6%	9	14.3%	14	12.2%	271	6.5%

Table 4 Respondent characteristics, alumni from Laos and All alumni, GTF Global Tracer Survey, 2022

GenerFrank7049.0%24.4%47.9%Maie7351.0%26.6%52.1%Maie7351.0%0.0%40.1%Mana19001812.6%24.14.8%Marea20005236.4%11.4822.8%20007351.0%36.3672.3%Marea7051.0%36.3672.3%Marea In nonth, but less than 6 mot74.9%22.3Marea In nonth, but less than 6 mot74.9%22.3Marea In nonth, but less than 6 mot755.2%31.6%Marea In nonth, but less than 6 mot331.6%11.29Marea In nonth, but less than 6 mot736.6%11.29Marea In nonth, but less than 6 mot736.6%11.29Marea In nonth, but less than 6 mot736.6%13.6%Marea In nonth, but less than 6 mot736.6%13.6%Marea In nonth, but less than 6 mot736.6%36.6%Marea In nonth, but less than 6 mot764.7%36.6%Marea Information Information764.7%36.6%Marea Information Information764.7%36.6%Marea Information Information Information764.7%36.6%Marea Information Information Information764.7%36.6%Marea Information Information Information764.7%36.6%Marea Information Information Information764.7%36.6%			LAO Count	LAO Column N%	All Alumni Count	All Alumni Column N%
Male7351.0%266552.1%Decade in which award was completed1980s00.0%40.1%1990s1812.6%2414.8%2000s5236.4%114822.8%2010s7351.0%363672.3%2010s7351.0%363672.3%More than 1 month, but less than 6 months74.9%2504.9%More than 1 month, but less than 6 months74.9%2234.4%One or two years7955.2%316361.8%More than 1 month, but less than 6 months00.0%290.6%More than 1 month, but less than 6 months733.6%112922.1%More than 1 month, but less than 6 months733.6%14.9%22.1%More than two years700.0%290.6%More than two years600.0%290.6%More than two years1331.8%174745.3%Private3733.6%57414.9%Sector of alumni1816.4%2476.4%Multilateral organisation1816.4%2476.4%Current employment10.9%230.6%1.1%Leadership role (e.g. manager, superviser, executive687.3%42611.1%Leadership role within leir community143.6%132.9%Leadership role within leir community165.5%1132.	Conder	Female	70	49.0%	2446	47.9%
Decade in which award was completed1990s1812.6%2414.8%2000s5236.4%114822.8%2010s7351.0%36.6%72.3%2010s7351.0%36.6%72.3%More than 1 month, but less than 6 months74.9%2004.9%More than 1 month, but less than 6 months74.9%2234.4%One or two years7955.2%316361.8%More than two years5035.0%112922.1%More than two years5035.0%112922.1%Not Stated00.0%290.6%Public/Government3531.8%57414.9%Sector of alumni current employment76.4%3509.1%Multilateral organisation76.4%3509.1%Multilateral organisation76.4%3687.0%Leadership role (e.g. mentor)2724.5%66217.2%I have a formal leadership role (e.g. mentor)2724.5%66217.2%I bader ship role (e.g. mentor)265.5%1132.9%I bader ship role (e.g. mentor)65.5%1132.9%Mut leadership role (e.g. mentor)287.3%42611.1%I bader ship role (e.g. mentor)65.5%1132.9%Mut leadership role (e.g. mentor)65.5%1132.9%I bader ship role (e.g. mentor)65.	Gender	Male	73	51.0%	2665	52.1%
Decision in wind award was completed 2000s 52 36.4% 1148 22.8% 2010s 73 51.0% 3636 72.3% 2010s 73 51.0% 3636 72.3% One month or less 3 2.1% 322 6.3% More than 1 month, but less than 6 months 7 4.9% 250 4.4% on award One or two years 79 55.2% 3163 61.8% More than two years 50 35.0% 1129 22.1% More than two years 50 36.6% 74 14.9% Private 37 38.6% 574 14.9% Public/Government 35 31.8% 1747 45.3% Sector of alumni current employment Multilateral organisation 78 64% 360 9.1% Sector of alumni current employment Non-government organisation 18 16.4% 247 6.4% Leadership role within I have a formal leadership role (e.g. manager. supervisor, execurity org. 68		1980s	0	0.0%	4	0.1%
2000s3230.4%114322.6%2010s7351.0%363672.3%One month or less32.1%3226.3%More than 1 month, but less than 6 months74.9%2504.9%Time spent in Australia on awardBetween 6 and 11 months42.8%2234.4%One or two years7955.2%316361.8%More than two years5035.0%112922.1%Not Stated00.0%290.6%Private3733.6%57414.9%University/academia (public or private)87.3%84221.9%Sector of alumni current employmentNon-government organisation76.4%3509.1%I have a formal leadership role (e.g. manager, supervisor, executive)6962.7%263968.7%Leadership role within their community1 have a informal leadership role (e.g. manager, I do NOT have a leadership role87.3%42611.1%Leadership role within their community10 NOT have a leadership role87.3%42611.1%Leadership role within their community14.8%107828.0%28.0%	Decade in which award	1990s	18	12.6%	241	4.8%
One month or less32.1%3226.3%More than 1 month, but less than 6 months74.9%2504.9%Time spent in Australia on awardBetween 6 and 11 months42.8%2234.4%One or two years7955.2%316361.8%More than two years5035.0%112922.1%More than two years5035.0%112922.1%Not Stated00.0%290.6%Public/Government3531.8%57441.9%Public/Government3531.8%174745.3%Sector of alumni current employmentNon-government organisation76.4%3509.1%Multilateral organisation10.9%230.6%Prefer not to say10.9%230.6%Leadership role (e.g. mentor)2724.5%66217.2%Leadership role within their community110.9%230.6%Yest744.8%10782.9%	was completed	2000s	52	36.4%	1148	22.8%
More than 1 month, but less than 6 months74.9%2504.9%Image pert in Australia on awardBetween 6 and 11 months42.8%2234.4%One or two years7955.2%316361.8%More than two years5035.0%112922.1%More than two years600.0%290.6%Not Stated00.0%290.6%Private3733.6%57414.9%Public/Government3531.8%174745.3%Ouniversity/academia (public or private)87.3%84221.9%Sector of alumni current employment1816.4%2476.4%Muttilateral organisation1816.4%2476.4%Other43.6%701.8%Prefer not to say10.9%230.6%Leadership role (e.g. manager)6962.7%263968.7%I have a informal leadership role (e.g. manager)6962.7%263961.1%I do NOT have a leadership role (e.g. mentor)2724.5%66211.3%I do NOT have a leadership role87.3%42611.1%Prefer not to say65.5%1132.9%Leadership role within tel endership role (e.g. mentor)74.8%10782.6%No647.3%42611.1%2.9%		2010s	73	51.0%	3636	72.3%
Between 6 and 11 months 4 2.8% 223 4.4% One or two years 79 55.2% 3163 61.8% More than two years 50 35.0% 1129 22.1% More than two years 50 35.0% 1129 22.1% Not Stated 0 0.0% 29 0.6% Public/Government 35 31.8% 574 14.9% Sector of alumni University/academia (public or private) 8 7.3% 842 21.9% Sector of alumni Non-government organisation 7 6.4% 350 9.1% Current employment Multilateral organisation 18 16.4% 247 6.4% Current employment Inave a formal leadership role (e.g. manager, supervisor, executive) 10 9.0% 3.6% 7.0% 6.62 7.1% 6.62 7.1% 6.62 7.1% 7.1% 7.2% 7.1% 7.1% 7.1% 7.1% 7.3% 7.1% 7.1% 7.3% 7.1% 7.1% 7.1%		One month or less	3	2.1%	322	6.3%
Time spent in Australia on award One or two years 79 55.2% 3163 61.8% More than two years 50 35.0% 1129 22.1% More than two years 50 35.0% 1129 22.1% Not Stated 0 0.0% 29 0.6% Private 37 33.6% 574 14.9% Public/Government 35 31.8% 1747 45.3% University/academia (public or private) 8 7.3% 842 21.9% Sector of alumni current employment Non-government organisation 7 6.4% 350 9.1% Multilateral organisation 18 16.4% 247 6.4% Cher e formal leadership role (e.g. manager) 69 62.7% 2639 68.7% Leadership role within current employment 1 have a informal leadership role (e.g. mentor) 27 24.5% 662 17.2% Leadership role within current employment 1 do NOT have a leadership role 3 7.3% 426 11.1% Leadership role with		More than 1 month, but less than 6 months	7	4.9%	250	4.9%
Indext and point of years No So 2.4% Sto 31.5% 61.6% More than two years 50 35.0% 1129 22.1% Not Stated 0 0.0% 29 0.6% Private 37 33.6% 574 14.9% Public/Government 35 31.8% 1747 45.3% University/academia (public or private) 8 7.3% 842 21.9% Sector of alumni current employment Non-government organisation 7 6.4% 350 9.1% Multilateral organisation 7 6.4% 350 9.1% Multilateral organisation 18 16.4% 247 6.4% Other 4 3.6% 70 1.8% Prefer not to say 1 0.9% 23 0.6% Leadership role (e.g. manager, supervisor, executive; supervisor, executive; Supervisor, executive; 69 62.7% 2639 68.7% Leadership role (e.g. manager, supervisor, executive; 14 on NOT have a leadership role 8 7.3% 426		Between 6 and 11 months	4	2.8%	223	4.4%
Not Stated 0 0.0% 29 0.6% Private 37 33.6% 574 14.9% Public/Government 35 31.8% 1747 45.3% University/academia (public or private) 8 7.3% 842 21.9% Sector of alumni current employment Non-government organisation 7 6.4% 350 9.1% Multilateral organisation 18 16.4% 247 6.4% Other 4 3.6% 70 1.8% Prefer not to say 1 0.9% 23 0.6% Leadership role within current employment I have a informal leadership role (e.g. manager, supervisor, executive) 69 62.7% 2639 68.7% Leadership role (within current employment I do NOT have a leadership role 87 7.3% 426 11.1% Prefer not to say 6 5.5% 113 2.9% Leadership role within their community 108 73.0% 260.3% 67.5%		One or two years	79	55.2%	3163	61.8%
Private3733.6%57414.9%Public/Government3531.8%174745.3%University/academia (public or private)87.3%84221.9%Sector of alumni current employmentNon-government organisation76.4%3509.1%Multilateral organisation1816.4%2476.4%Other43.6%701.8%Prefer not to say10.9%230.6%Leadership role within current employment10.9%263968.7%I have a informal leadership role (e.g. manager, supervisor, executive)6962.7%263968.7%Leadership role within current employment10.0T have a leadership role87.3%42611.1%Prefer not to say65.5%1132.9%Leadership role within their communityNo8473.0%280367.5%		More than two years	50	35.0%	1129	22.1%
Public/Government3531.8%174745.3%University/academia (public or private)87.3%84221.9%Sector of alumni current employmentNon-government organisation76.4%3509.1%Muttilateral organisation1816.4%2476.4%Other43.6%701.8%Prefer not to say10.9%230.6%Leadership role (e.g. manager, supervisor, executive)6962.7%263968.7%Leadership role within I have an informal leadership role (e.g. mentor)2724.5%66217.2%Prefer not to say65.5%1132.9%Leadership role within their communityNo8473.0%280367.5%		Not Stated	0	0.0%	29	0.6%
Sector of alumni current employmentUniversity/academia (public or private)87.3%84221.9%Non-government organisation76.4%3509.1%Multilateral organisation1816.4%2476.4%Other43.6%701.8%Prefer not to say10.9%230.6%I have a formal leadership role (e.g. manager, supervisor, executive)6962.7%263968.7%Leadership role within current employment10.9%2311.1%I do NOT have a leadership role (e.g. mentor)2724.5%66217.2%Prefer not to say65.5%1132.9%I do NOT have a leadership role87.3%42611.1%Prefer not to say65.5%1132.9%Leadership role within their communityNo8473.0%280367.5%		Private	37	33.6%	574	14.9%
Sector of alumni current employmentNon-government organisation76.4%3509.1%Multilateral organisation1816.4%2476.4%Other43.6%701.8%Prefer not to say10.9%230.6%I have a formal leadership role (e.g. manager, supervisor, executive)6962.7%263968.7%Leadership role within current employmentI have an informal leadership role (e.g. mentor)2724.5%66217.2%I do NOT have a leadership role87.3%42611.1%2.9%Leadership role within 		Public/Government	35	31.8%	1747	45.3%
current employmentNon-government organisation76.4%3509.1%Multilateral organisation1816.4%2476.4%Other43.6%701.8%Other43.6%701.8%Prefer not to say10.9%230.6%I have a formal leadership role (e.g. manager, supervisor, executive)6962.7%263968.7%Leadership role within current employmentI have an informal leadership role (e.g. mentor)2724.5%66217.2%I do NOT have a leadership role87.3%42611.1%2.9%Prefer not to say65.5%1132.9%Leadership role within their communityNo8473.0%280367.5%		University/academia (public or private)	8	7.3%	842	21.9%
Other 4 3.6% 70 1.8% Prefer not to say 1 0.9% 23 0.6% I have a formal leadership role (e.g. manager, supervisor, executive) 69 62.7% 2639 68.7% Leadership role within current employment I have an informal leadership role (e.g. mentor) 27 24.5% 662 17.2% I do NOT have a leadership role 8 7.3% 426 11.1% Prefer not to say 6 5.5% 113 2.9% Leadership role within their community No 84 73.0% 2803 67.5%		Non-government organisation	7	6.4%	350	9.1%
Prefer not to say10.9%230.6%I have a formal leadership role (e.g. manager, supervisor, executive)6962.7%263968.7%Leadership role within current employmentI have an informal leadership role (e.g. mentor)2724.5%66217.2%I do NOT have a leadership role87.3%42611.1%Prefer not to say65.5%1132.9%Leadership role within their communityNo8473.0%280367.5%		Multilateral organisation	18	16.4%	247	6.4%
I have a formal leadership role (e.g. manager, supervisor, executive)6962.7%263968.7%Leadership role within current employmentI have an informal leadership role (e.g. mentor)2724.5%66217.2%I do NOT have a leadership role87.3%42611.1%Prefer not to say65.5%1132.9%Yes1714.8%107826.0%Leadership role within their communityNo8473.0%280367.5%		Other	4	3.6%	70	1.8%
Leadership role within current employmentI have an informal leadership role (e.g. mentor)2724.5%66217.2%I do NOT have a leadership role87.3%42611.1%Prefer not to say65.5%1132.9%Yes1714.8%107826.0%Leadership role within their communityNo8473.0%280367.5%		Prefer not to say	1	0.9%	23	0.6%
Current employmentI do NOT have a leadership role87.3%42611.1%Prefer not to say65.5%1132.9%Yes1714.8%107826.0%Leadership role within their communityNo8473.0%280367.5%			69	62.7%	2639	68.7%
I do NOT have a leadership role87.3%42611.1%Prefer not to say65.5%1132.9%Yes1714.8%107826.0%Leadership role within their communityNo8473.0%280367.5%		I have an informal leadership role (e.g. mentor)	27	24.5%	662	17.2%
Yes1714.8%107826.0%Leadership role within their communityNo8473.0%280367.5%		I do NOT have a leadership role	8	7.3%	426	11.1%
Leadership role within their communityNo8473.0%280367.5%		Prefer not to say	6	5.5%	113	2.9%
their community NO 84 73.0% 2803 67.5%		Yes	17	14.8%	1078	26.0%
Prefer not to say 14 12.2% 272 6.5%		No	84	73.0%	2803	67.5%
		Prefer not to say	14	12.2%	272	6.5%

Table 5: Australia Awards Core Indicators

No	Global Performance Target		Country program core indicators
		1.1	Number and percentage of Australia Awards allocated disaggregated by key diversity markers.
1	Country programs attract and select increasing proportions of diverse, eligible applicants, placing them in Awards that align with DFAT priority areas	1.2	Number and percentage of awardees who successfully complete an Australia Award course and obtain the course qualification.
		1.3	Number and percentage of total Australia Awards allocated to priority areas at a country level.
	 Country programs effectively promote the Australia Awards to vulnerable and minority groups, have demonstrated capacity and processes in place to support GEDSI inclusion, and strive to strengthen systems and practice if standards are not met. 	2.1	Number and percentage of people from vulnerable groups who apply for an Award each year vs percentage of people from each group receiving an Award each year.
2		2.2	\$ Investment in and number and representative lists of efforts undertaken by country programs that have or are expected to result in greater inclusion of vulnerable groups in the Australia Awards.
		2.3	Country rating for disability: 'The investment identifies and addresses barriers to inclusion and opportunities for participation for people with disabilities to enable them to benefit equally from the aid investment'.
		3.1	Number and percentage of awardees who report satisfaction with their award.
3	Australia Awards is an effective mechanism which contributes to an increasing number of alumni better equipped to influence development outcomes in their home countries across a diversity of sectors.	3.2	Number and percentage of alumni who report the usefulness of the Award in enabling them to contribute to development.
		3.3	Number and percentage of alumni able to provide valid examples of contributions to country objectives, using the skills, knowledge or networks gained from their award.
4	Country / regional programs build a bigger, stronger network of active alumni by creating meaningful opportunities for quality engagement that	4.1	Number and percentage of active alumni.
	contributes to alumni advancement in their home country.	4.2	Number and percentage of alumni who report that they have participated in valuable alumni activities.
F	Australia Awards and alumni engagement increasingly contribute to	5.1	Number and percentage of alumni who have drawn on bilateral links, established through the Australia Awards.
5	enduring relationships and mutual cooperation between Australia and the partner country.	5.2	Number and percentage of alumni able to provide valid examples of participation in mutual collaborations between their country and Australia, after their completion of the Australia Award.
		6.1	Number and percentage of Australia Awards allocated to development sectors where women's skills and participation are most needed in each country.
6	The Australia Awards contributes to a growing cohort of women leaders who are increasingly able to participate, influence and lead across a	6.2	Country rating for gender equality 'The investment is making progress as expected in effectively implementing strategies to promote gender equality and women's empowerment'.
U	diversity of development sectors.	6.3	Number and percentage of women who experience career advancement partially attributable to their participation in the Australia Awards.
		6.4	Number and percentage of women alumni able to provide examples of enhanced leadership or empowerment partially attributable to their participation in the Australia Awards.

